

Marc Williams – Local Resident

Response to the Fosse Green Application

Reference: [REDACTED]

I am a local resident apposed to the destruction of our countryside. I am unequivocally and fundamentally opposed to the industrialisation of the Lincolnshire countryside proposed under the Fosse Green scheme.

While the applicant attempts to present recent changes as meaningful “adjustments”, this claim is disingenuous. The development remains colossal, excessive, and wholly inappropriate in scale. It would obliterate a beautiful, quiet rural landscape and replace it with an industrial power plant, fundamentally altering the character of our communities forever. Community feedback has not merely been overlooked—it has been ignored repeatedly and deliberately throughout this process.

In total, 3,361 acres (1,360 hectares) of land—much of it Best and Most Versatile agricultural land—would be consumed by this scheme.

Loss of Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land

I am deeply angered by the casual sacrifice of high-grade, fertile agricultural land implied by this application. This land has supported generations of successful farming and food production. Under no circumstances should the Government, energy speculators, or opportunistic landowners be allowed to destroy it in the name of Net Zero targets that are increasingly exposed as unrealistic and poorly thought through.

There are sensible and proportionate alternatives to increase energy production. These include solar on rooftops and brownfield sites, offshore wind, and Small Modular Nuclear Reactors. I am not opposed to progress. What we reject is reckless, disproportionate, and destructive development.

This scheme is neither sensible nor proportionate.

Site Selection Failure and Policy Conflict

I am profoundly concerned by the applicant’s approach to site selection and their misrepresentation of national policy. Claims of alignment with NPS EN-1 and EN-3 simply do not withstand scrutiny.

These policies clearly prioritise proximity to grid infrastructure, minimising new grid construction, and the use of brownfield or previously developed land. The applicant’s own Site Selection Report confirms the truth: this site was chosen because it was offered by landowners—not because it complied with national priorities.

As of January 2026, there are nearly 30,000 hectares of available brownfield land in England. Yet the developer has made no attempt to conduct a genuinely national site search. Instead, vast areas of productive countryside are being sacrificed for a project that the applicant themselves admits

would power at most 110,000 homes. That is not “nationally significant” by any reasonable definition.

The Clean Power 2030 initiative reinforces the importance of strategic, brownfield-led site selection and directly contradicts this proposal. Even more concerning, there is currently no confirmed grid connection. Granting consent for a so-called Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project that depends on an entirely separate, unconsented substation is reckless and indefensible.

I ask that the Planning Inspector refuse this application.